

APPLICATION NO.

10/063,923

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov	13-1430
ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
 2001-0288	6168

26652 7590 09/25/2006

FILING DATE

05/24/2002

AT&T CORP.
ROOM 2A207
ONE AT&T WAY
BEDMINSTER, NJ 07921

HOM, SHICK C

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

**EXAMINER** 

2616

DATE MAILED: 09/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

Robert Duncan Doverspike

		1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•
	10/063,923	DOVERSPIKE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shick C. Hom	2616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was preply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Au	ugust 2002.		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	·		S
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,6,7 and 11-14</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) <u>3-5, 8-10</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(	d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau	• • • •	. a	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not receive	ea.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Art Unit: 2616

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following 1. informalities: in page 1 lines 20, 23 of the specification, delete the URL "WWW.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3031.txt (January(2001)," likewise, in page 2 lines 6-7, 11-12 of the specification delete the URL "www.ietf.org/internet-drafts/...," and page 4 lines 26-37 of the specification delete all URL's http://www.ietf.ort/internet-drafts/..." because the incorporation of essential material in the specification by reference to an unpublished U.S. application, foreign application or patent, or to a publication is improper. Applicant is required to amend the disclosure to include the material incorporated by reference, if the material is relied upon to overcome any objection, rejection, or other requirement imposed by the Office. The amendment must be accompanied by a statement executed by the applicant, or a practitioner representing the applicant, stating that the material being inserted is the material previously incorporated by reference and that the amendment contains no new matter. Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2616

#### Drawings

2. Figures 1-2 should be designated by a legend such as -Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See
MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR
1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid
abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should
be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR
1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing
figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the
applicant will be notified and informed of any required
corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to
the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/063,923

Art Unit: 2616

4. Claims 1-2, 6-7, and 11-14 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Davies (6,901,053).

Regarding claims 1-2, 6-7, 11-12, and 14

Davies discloses a method of resolving label contention in a label switched network (see col. 1 lines 31-38 which recite method to mitigate congestion and col. 4 lines 50-61 which recite route being implemented using Multi-protocol label switching MPLS) comprising: (i) receiving a first label switched path setup message sent by a first node in the network; (ii) determining whether the first label switched path setup message contends for a same label assigned or suggested in a second label switched path setup message sent by a second node in the network; and (iii) giving priority to the second label switched path setup message if the first label switched path setup message is a label request and if the second label switched path setup message is a label reply as in claim 1; and the step of giving priority to the second label switched path setup message if the first label switched path setup message is a setup message for a unidirectional label switched path and if the second label switched path setup message is a setup message for a bidirectional label switched path (see col. 3 lines 31-59 which recite the amount of bandwidth reserved for each path and

Art Unit: 2616

direction being depend on what the customer is prepared to pay for so that during congestion an unidirectional path will be sufficient for the basic service where the traffic is highly asymmetrical clearly reads determining setup message contends and giving priority to the second label switched path setup message whereby if the second label switched path setup message is a label reply or a bidirectional label switched path) as in claim 1-2, 6-7, 11-12, 14.

Regarding claim 13:

Davies discloses wherein the second contention policy gives priority to the node with a higher node identification (see col. 4 lines 31-44 which recite identifying the addresses associated with the high priority customer for routing reads on giving priority to node with a higher node identification) as in claim 13.

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 3-5 and 8-10 would be allowable if rewritten to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

Art Unit: 2616

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Lu et al. disclose fast restoration in optical mesh network.

Enoki et al. disclose a label switching router.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shick C. Hom whose telephone number is 571-272-3173. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema Rao can be reached on 571-272-3174. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SH SH

SEEMA S. RAO 912006 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600